would be expected that 1,1-DCE could produce functional changes in both the ER calcium pump and ER contribution to calcium homeostasis without observable changes in ER morphology, MFOS activity or G6Pase activity.

In summary, this study shows that 1,1-DCE promptly inhibits a calcium homeostatic function of liver ER. The correlation with GSH depletion and the effect of MFOS induction on calcium pump inhibition suggest that this is a direct effect of a 1,1-DCE metabolite on the calcium pump. As a result of calcium pump inhibition, calcium released from the ER may serve to trigger changes that result in a massive influx of extracellular calcium and, ultimately, cytotoxicity.

Acknowledgements—The excellent technical assistance of Ms. Carol DeBoer is acknowledged. Supported in part by a grant from the United States Public Health Service (R23 ES 02691-01).

Department of Pharmacology Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Bethesda, MD 20014, U.S.A.

LEON MOORE

REFERENCES

- 1, L. J. Jenkins, Jr., M. J. Trabulus and S. D. Murphy, Toxic. appl. Pharmac. 23, 501 (1972).
- 2. R. J. Jaeger, M. J. Trabulus and S. D. Murphy, Toxic. appl. Pharmac. 24, 457 (1973).
- 3. E. S. Reynolds, M. T. Moslen, S. Szabo, R. J. Jaeger
- and S. D. Murphy, Am. J. Path. 81, 219 (1975).
 4. E. S. Reynolds, M. T. Moslen, P. J. Boor and R. J. Jaeger, Am. J. Path. 101, 331 (1980).
- 5. L. Moore, Biochem. Pharmac. 29, 2505 (1980).
- 6. L. Moore, G. R. Davenport and E. J. Landon, J. biol. Chem. 251, 1197 (1976).

- 7. K. Lowrey, E. A. Glende, Jr. and R. O. Recknagel, Biochem. Pharmac. 30, 135 (1981)
- F. A. X. Schanne, A. B. Kane, E. E. Young and J. L. Farber, Science 206, 700 (1979).
- 9. N. N. Aronson and O. Touster, in Methods in Enzymology (Eds S. Fleischer and L. Packer), Vol. 31, Part A, p. 90. Academic Press, New York (1974).
- 10. R. J. Jaeger, R. B. Conolly and S. D. Murphy, Expl. molec. Path. 20, 187 (1974).
- 11. C. D. Klaassen and G. L. Plaa, Biochem. Pharmac. 18, 2019 (1969).
- 12. A. J. Shatkin, in Fundamental Techniques in Virology (Eds K. Habel and N. P. Salzman), p. 231. Academic Press, New York (1969).
- 13. M. J. McKenna, P. G. Watanabe and P. J. Gehring, Environ. Hlth. Perspect. 21, 99 (1977).
- 14. B. K. Jones and D. E. Hathway, Chem. Biol. Interact. **20**, 27 (1978).
- 15. M. E. Andersen, O. E. Thomas, M. L. Gargas, R. A. Jones and L. J. Jenkins, Jr., Toxic. appl. Pharmac. 52, 422 (1980).
- 16. G. P. Carlson and G. C. Fuller, Res. Commun. Chem. Path. Pharmac. 4, 553 (1972).
- 17. R. N. Harris and M. W. Anders, Pharmacologist 22, 223 (1980).
- 18. M. E. Andersen, R. A. Jones and L. J. Jenkins, Jr., Toxic. appl. Pharmac. 46, 227 (1978).
- 19. K. Lowrey, E. A. Glende, Jr. and R. O. Recknagel, Toxic. appl. Pharmac. 59, 389 (1981).
- 20. E. S. Reynolds, J. Cell Biol. 19, 139 (1963).
- 21. M. E. Andersen, J. E. French, M. L. Gargas, R. A. Jones and L. J. Jenkins, Jr., Toxic. appl. Pharmac. 47, 385 (1979).
- 22. Y. Masuda and T. Murano, Biochem. Pharmac. 26, 2275 (1977).
- 23. D. J. Kornbrust and R. D. Mavis, Molec. Pharmac. 17, 408 (1980).

Biochemical Pharmacology, Vol. 31, No. 7, pp. 1465-1467, 1982. Printed in Great Britain.

0006-2952/82/071465-03 \$03.00/0 Pergamon Press Ltd.

Carbon disulfide hepatoxicity and inhibition of liver microsome calcium pump

(Received 13 June 1981; accepted 6 October 1981)

Certain chlorinated hydrocarbon hepatotoxins inhibit the liver endoplasmic reticulum (ER,* microsome) calcium pump after exposure in vivo or in vitro [1-3]. Some investigators suggest that disruption of calcium homeostasis and alteration of intracellular calcium distribution by these toxins may initiate a cascade of events that terminates in hepatic necrosis [1-5]. Because of a possible mechanistic role of calcium pump inhibition in hepatotoxin action, it is of interest to examine other classes of hepatotoxins for the ability to inhibit the liver ER calcium pump. CS₂ is a hepatotoxin that produces extensive centrilobular necrosis in phenobarbital-pretreated, starved rats, while in normal rats CS₂ produces fatty infiltration but little necrosis [6–8].

* Abbreviations: ER, endoplasmic reticulum; G6Pase, glucose-6-phosphatase; SGPT, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase; 1,1-DCE, 1,1-dichloroethylene; GSH, glutathione; and MFOS, mixed function oxidase system.

This compound is appropriate as a model of non-halogenated hepatotoxins because its toxic actions range from lipid accumulation in the liver to hepatocytic necrosis.

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (250-400 g) were used for this study. Animals were allowed free access to food and water throughout the experiments. CS₂ (Fisher Scientific Co., Fair Lawn, NJ) was diluted in corn oil and administered i.p. or orally. Rats were pretreated with phenobarbital (J. T. Baker Chemical Co., Phillipsburg, NJ) (80 mg/kg) 72, 48 and 24 hr before CS₂. Microsomal calcium pump activity was measured in the following medium: 100 mM KCl, 30 mM imidazole-histidine buffer (pH 6.8), 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ATP (pH adjusted to 6.8 with imidazole), 5 mM ammonium oxalate, 5 mM sodium azide, 20 μM CaCl₂ ([45Ca²⁺], 0.2 μCi/ml) and 20-50 μg microsomal protein (or equivalent 12,500 g supernatant fluid)/ml [1, 2]. The assay was initiated by addition of the membrane fraction to prewarmed assay medium (37°). At timed inter-

Table 1. Effect of phenobarbital pretreatment on CS ₂ inhibition of liver ER calcium pump	Table 1. Effect of	phenobarbital	pretreatment of	1 CS:	inhibition -	of liver	ER	calcium	pump	*
--	--------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------	--------------	----------	----	---------	------	---

	Microsomal calcium pump activity† nmoles Ca ²⁺	Microsomal calcium μg Ca ²⁺	Microsomal G6Pase activity‡ μmoles PO ₄	
	mg protein	mg protein	mg protein	
Normal animals				
Control	188 ± 13	0.34 ± 0.01	2.9 ± 0.2	
CS_2	169 ± 22	0.31 ± 0.03	2.9 ± 0.1	
	(90 ± 12)	(89 ± 7)	(102 ± 5)	
Phenobarbital-pretreated	` ′	` ,	` '	
Control	172 ± 17	0.32 ± 0.02	2.3 ± 0.2	
CS ₂	101 ± 10	0.21 ± 0.01	2.3 ± 0.2	
-	(60 ± 6)	(63 ± 5)	(106 ± 12)	

^{*} Normal and phenobarbital-pretreated animals received corn oil i.p. CS₂-treated rats received CS₂ (1 ml/kg) diluted in corn oil, 1 hr before being killed. Phenobarbital-pretreated animals received 80 mg/kg 72, 48 and 24 hr before CS₂. The microsomal fraction was isolated and used to determine calcium pump activity, G6Pase activity, and calcium as described in the text. Data are expressed as the means ± S.E.M. for the determination in samples from six or seven livers. Data in parentheses are percent control.

vals, samples were removed and filtered through $0.45 \mu m$ nitrocellulose cellulose filters, and [45Ca2+] was determined by liquid scintillation spectrophotometry. Microsomal calcium was determined by atomic asborption spectrophotometry, as previously described [2]. Glucose-6-phosphatase activity was determined as described by Aronson and Touster [9]. Glutathione (GSH) was determined as described by Jaeger et al. [10]. Lipids were extracted from microsomes after in vivo administration of CS2, and the extent of lipid peroxidation was determined as conjugated dienes at 243 nm as described by Klaassen and Plaa [11]. As a measure of hepatotoxicity, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) activity (Sigma Reagent Kit, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) was determined 24 hr after CS2 treatment. Protein was determined by the Lowry method as described by Shatkin [12].

ER calcium pump activity, G6Pase activity, or the amount of calcium isolated with the microsomal fraction was not altered 1 hr after i.p. CS₂ administration to normal rats (Table 1). CS₂ administration to phenobarbital-pretreated rats inhibited ER calcium pump activity 40% after 1 hr and reduced the amount of calcium associated with the microsomal fraction 40%. However, activity of an ER marker enzyme (G6Pase) was not altered after CS₂ administration to phenobarbital-pretreated rats (Table 1). These

effects could have been anticipated in that chlorinated hydrocarbon hepatotoxins have been shown to produce a similar spectrum of effects. CHCl₃ has been shown to be a potent hepatotoxin in phenobarbital-pretreated animals [2, 13] and was a potent inhibitor of the ER calcium pump only in phenobarbital-pretreated animals [2]. CCl₄, CHCl₃ and 1,1-dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE) have been shown to reduce ER calcium pump activity and calcium associated with the microsomal fraction simultaneously [2, 14]. Both CHCl₃ and 1,1-DCE inhibited the ER calcium pump but did not inhibit the ER marker enzyme G6Pase [2, 10, 11, 14].

Similar effects on calcium pump activity, G6Pase activity and microsomal calcium were seen after oral administration of CS₂ to phenobarbital-pretreated rats (Table 2). In addition, CS₂ increased lipid peroxidation (conjugated dienes) in microsomes isolated from phenobarbital-pretreated animals 1 hr after toxin administration (Table 2). This effect of CS₂ resembled CCl₄ [11], yet was dissimilar to CHCl₃ [11] and 1,1-DCE [10]. In a separate experiment, conjugated dienes were not elevated 1 hr after CS₂ (1 ml/kg) in normal animals but were elevated significantly in phenobarbital-pretreated animals (P < 0.05, Student's test, N = 6, data not shown). This experiment also confirmed that calcium pump inhibition occurred only in the

Table 2. Effect of orally administered CS₂*

	Calcium pump activity†	Microsomal calcium	G6Pase activity‡	Conjugated dienes	Glutathione	SGPT activity
	nmoles Ca ²⁺	μg Ca ²⁺	μmoles PO ₄		mg GSH	units
	mg protein	mg protein	mg protein	O.D. 243 nm	g liver	ml
Control CS ₂	146 ± 8.5 109 ± 6.1 (76 ± 5)	0.32 ± 0.04 0.20 ± 0.01 (66 ± 6)	2.1 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1 (95 ± 7)	0.117 ± 0.01 0.223 ± 0.01 (194 ± 18)	5.6 ± 0.2 4.2 ± 0.2 (74 ± 3.5)	70 ± 3 336 ± 40 (536 ± 61)

^{*} All animals received phenobarbital (80 mg/kg) 72, 48 and 24 hr before orally administered CS₂ (1 ml/kg) diluted in corn oil. Control animals received corn oil, and 1 or 24 hr later both control and treated groups were killed. All determinations were performed as described in the text. All data except SGPT activity were collected from animals killed 1 hr after CS₂. SGPT activity was determined from animals killed 24 hr after CS₂. Data are expressed as the means ± S.E.M. for the determination on material from four to six rats. Data in parentheses are percent control.

[†] Total nmoles Ca2+ accumulated during 30 min, per mg protein.

[‡] Total µmoles PO₄ accumulated during 15 min, per mg protein.

[†] Total nmoles Ca²⁺ accumulated during 30 min, per mg protein.

[‡] Total µmoles PO₄ accumulated during 15 min, per mg protein.

phenobarbital-pretreated group of rats (data not shown). Conversely, CHCl₃, [15], 1,1-DCE [10] and CS₂ (Table 2) depleted GSH while CCl₄ [15] did not. Finally, as a biochemical measure of hepatotoxicity, SGPT activity was determined 24 hr after CS₂. Following CS₂ treatment, SGPT activity was increased more than 5-fold (Table 2). Calcium pump inhibition 24 hr after CS₂ administration to phenobarbital-pretreated animals was $30 \pm 3\%$ (N = 5).

CS₂ would appear to be a useful compound to study the role of liver ER calcium pump inhibition in development of hepatic necrosis. In addition, CS₂ would appear to be the first hepatotoxin, other than chlorinated hydrocarbons, that has been shown to be an inhibitor of the ER calcium pump. This compound can produce moderate hepatotoxicity (lipid accumulation) in the normal rat or severe toxicity (cell death) in the phenobarbital-pretreated rat [6-8]. Calcium pump inhibition was seen 1 hr after CS₂ administration only in that group of rats (phenobarbital-pretreated) that would subsequently develop cellular necrosis. This difference of calcium pump inhibition is similar to that noted between CHCl3 and the much less toxic CDCl3 in phenobarbital-pretreated rats [2]. A possible mechanism of CS₂ activation has been proposed. CS₂ has been shown to be metabolized to an active metabolite by the liver mixed function oxidase system (MFOS) [16]. During metabolism of CS₂ to COS, sulfur was released and covalently bound to microsomal proteins [17]. Additional studies suggested that a portion of the sulfur released reacted with sulfhydryl groups of cysteine residues in microsomal proteins to form a hydrodisulfide [18]. A portion of this sulfur interacts with cytochrome P-450 and inhibits the MFOS. However, 9 moles of sulfur interacts with microsomal proteins per mole of cytochrome P-450 [18]. A portion of this sulfur may also interact with and inhibit the liver ER calcium pump. The liver ER calcium pump has been shown to be sensitive to sulfhydryl reagents [19]. One may speculate that the mechanism by which CS2 administration produces calcium pump inhibition is via hydrodisulfide formation.

In summary, this work has shown that CS₂ promptly inhibits the liver ER calcium pump only in those animals that subsequently develop hepatic necrosis. In this respect, inhibition of the ER calcium pump by CS₂ resembles the actions of chlorinated hydrocarbon hepatotoxins. This lends further support to the suggestion that disruption of calcium homeostasis is an important early step in the action of at least some hepatotoxins [1–5]. CS₂ appears to be the first example of a hepatotoxin other than chlorinated hydrocarbons that inhibit the liver ER calcium pump early in the course of intoxication. Finally, studies by others [17, 18] suggest a mechanism by which CS₂ can interact with and inhibit the liver ER calcium pump.

Acknowledgements—The excellent technical assistance of Ms. Carol DeBoer is acknowledged. Supported in part by a Public Health Service Grant (R23 ES 02691-01).

Department of Pharmacology Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Bethesda, MD 20014, U.S.A. LEON MOORE

REFERENCES

- L. Moore, G. R. Davenport and E. J. Landon, J. biol. Chem. 251, 1197 (1976).
- 2. L. Moore, Biochem. Pharmac. 29, 2505 (1980).
- K. Lowrey, E. A. Glende, Jr. and R. O. Recknagel, Biochem. Pharmac. 30, 135 (1981).
- F. A. X. Schanne, A. B. Kane, E. E. Young and J. L. Farber, Science 206, 700 (1979).
- J. L. Farber, in Toxic Injury of the Liver, Part A (Eds E. Farber and M. M. Fisher), p. 215. Marcel Decker, New York (1979).
- E. J. Bond, W. H. Butler, F. DeMatteis and J. M. Barnes, Br. J. ind. Med. 26, 335 (1969).
- E. J. Bond and F. DeMatteis, *Biochem. Pharmac.* 18, 2531 (1969).
- 8. L. Magos and W. H. Butler, Br. J. ind. Med. 29, 95 (1972).
- N. N. Aronson and O. Touster, in Methods in Enzymology (Eds S. Fleischer and L. Packer), Vol. 31, Part A, p. 90. Academic Press, New York (1974).
- R. J. Jaeger, M. J. Trabulus and S. D. Murphy, *Toxic. appl. Pharmac.* 24, 457 (1973).
- 11. C. D. Klaassen and G. L. Plaa, *Biochem. Pharmac.* **18**, 2019 (1969).
- A. J. Shatkin, in Fundamental Techniques in Virology (Eds K. Habel and N. P. Salzman), p. 231. Academic Press, New York (1969).
- 13. A. E. M. McLean, Br. J. exp. Path. 51, 317 (1970).
- 14. L. Moore, Biochem. Pharmac. 31, 1463 (1982).
- E. L. Docks and G. Krishna, Expl. molec. Path. 24, 13 (1976).
- F. DeMatteis and A. A. Seawright, Chem. Biol. Interact. 7, 375 (1973).
- R. R. Dalvi, A. L. Hunter and R. A. Neal, Chem. Biol. Interact. 10, 347 (1975).
- G. L. Catignani and R. A. Neal, Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun. 65, 629 (1975).
- L. Moore, T. Chen, H. R. Knapp, Jr. and E. J. Landon, J. biol. Chem. 250, 4562 (1975).